



## Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council

Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program - Phase XII  
Laws of Minnesota 2020 Accomplishment Plan

---

### General Information

**Date:** 07/13/2022

**Project Title:** Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program - Phase XII

**Funds Recommended:** \$3,658,000

**Legislative Citation:** ML 2020, Ch. 104, Art. 1, Sec. 2, subd 4(a)

**Appropriation Language:** \$3,658,000 the second year is to the commissioner of natural resources for an agreement with Pheasants Forever, in cooperation with the United States Fish and Wildlife Service, to acquire lands in fee and to restore and enhance wetlands and grasslands to be designated and managed as waterfowl production areas in Minnesota. A list of proposed land acquisitions must be provided as part of the required accomplishment plan.

### Manager Information

**Manager's Name:** Eran Sandquist

**Title:** State Coordinator - MN

**Organization:** Pheasants Forever

**Address:** 410 Lincoln Avenue So. Box 91

**City:** South Haven, MN 55382

**Email:** esandquist@pheasantsforever

**Office Number:** 320-236-7755

**Mobile Number:** 763-242-1273

**Fax Number:**

**Website:** www.pheasantsforever.org

### Location Information

**County Location(s):** Becker, Pope, Lac qui Parle, Clay, Kandiyohi, Swift, McLeod, Big Stone, Stevens, Sibley, Meeker, Carver, Douglas, Lyon, Wilkin, Waseca, Cottonwood, Grant, Lincoln, Stearns, Rice, Jackson, Faribault, Renville, Blue Earth, Murray, Wright, Freeborn, Brown, Nobles, Mahnommen and Otter Tail.

### Eco regions in which work will take place:

- Forest / Prairie Transition
- Prairie
- Metro / Urban

**Activity types:**

- Protect in Fee

**Priority resources addressed by activity:**

- Wetlands
- Prairie

**Narrative****Abstract**

This proposal accelerates the strategic permanent protection of 611 acres (122 acres of wetlands and 489 acres of grassland habitat) of Waterfowl Production Areas (WPAs) open to public hunting in Minnesota. Pheasants Forever (PF) will strategically acquire parcels that are adjacent to existing public land or create corridors between complexes. All acquisitions will occur in the prairie, prairie/forest transition, or metro regions.

**Design and Scope of Work**

The loss of grassland and wetland habitats in Minnesota is well documented. In the agricultural region of Minnesota, over 90% of our wetlands and 99% of our prairie grasslands have been converted for other uses. This proposal aims to slow or reverse this downward trend by strategically acquiring and restoring previously converted wetland and grassland habitats to be permanently protected as WPAs. The United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and its partners have been employing this strategy for over 50 years through the Small Wetlands Acquisition Program (SWAP). This twelfth phase of the WPA acceleration program builds upon past work of the USFWS SWAP as well as the previous eleven phases of this effort by strategically acquiring 611 acres (122 acres of wetlands and 489 acres of grassland habitat) for the benefit of upland species and recreational opportunities of the public.

Strategic properties will be identified by using landscape-level planning tools [e.g. Thunderstorm Maps produced by the USFWS's Habitat and Population Evaluation Team (HAPET)]. Based on HAPET evaluation strategies, modeling predictions can be made on the numbers of nesting waterfowl, grassland nesting birds, and other wildlife impacted by this grant application. In addition to wildlife benefits, the lands acquired and restored through this grant will provide improved water quality, groundwater recharge, and flood abatement benefits. These strategies are well tested and are supported by the greater conservation community in Minnesota. Hunting and fishing stakeholders are very interested in increasing public access for hunting and fishing. To address concerns related to county tax revenues due to acquiring public land, the USFWS and PF will notify counties prior to the acquisition of lands. Once acquired, the USFWS will make a one-time payment (called a Trust Fund payment) to the county where the property is located. In addition, the USFWS will make annual refuge revenue sharing payments for all fee lands within the respective counties.

All wetlands, on the properties acquired, will be restored by either surface ditch "plugs," breaking sub-surface tile lines, or other best practices for wetland restoration. Grasslands will be restored by planting site-appropriate native grasses and forbs following known best practices for the establishment. Grassland restoration on individual tracts may take three to five years, involving one to two years of post-acquisition farming to prepare the site for

seeding (e.g. weed management issues, chemical carryover, other site-specific issues). Other restoration activities could include invasive tree removal, building site-cleanup, prescribed fire, etc. as necessary to provide high-quality habitat and public access to the citizens of Minnesota.

### **How does the plan address habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and list targeted species?**

PF is actively engaged in conservation priority planning meetings with local, state and government agencies, SWCDs, nonprofits, and other stake holders to determine what areas are the highest priority for adding permanently protected lands in the prairie, prairie/forest transition, and metro planning areas. Priority is given to parcels for numerous reasons. One of the reasons is an urgency to permanently protect habitat that include factors such as rare, threatened, & endangered species. We can strategically build onto existing wildlife habitat while also protecting water resources, such as wellhead protection areas. PF also looks to protect and restore marginal farmlands that have highly erodible land and drained wetlands which creates a net acre increase in protected grassland and wetland acres. Sellers often talk about how these lands should have never been farmed, citing that in many years, farming was not profitable for them. Lastly, building new habitat around existing permanently protected complexes also reverses habitat fragmentation, which is the number one threat to all of Minnesota's wildlife species.

When selecting projects for this proposal, PF uses the latest GIS layers and works with DNR staff to identify species of greatest conservation need. Species of greatest conservation need are considered and can influence restoration plans after the land is permanently protected. By increasing the amount, functionality and productivity of grassland landscapes for these species, we aim to maximize quality habitat for important wildlife species. Restoration of wetland and high diversity grassland complexes will provide habitat for a myriad of species including waterfowl, black terns, bobolinks, meadowlarks, ring-necked pheasants, pollinators, and monarchs. Other species of concern benefiting from this project include the prairie chicken, short-eared owl, marsh hawk, and yellow rails.

### **Describe how the plan uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey:**

This proposal utilizes the best science and modeling available to build and/or expand corridors and complexes. The HAPET provides landscape level planning and decision support tools (e.g. Thunderstorm maps) which are used to identify the most important wetland and grassland habitats remaining in the PPR of Minnesota. To scale this large programmatic grant to local landscape level priorities, PF works in close collaboration with the local area managers of USFWS, MNDNR, and other Minnesota partners to build on existing grassland and wetland conservation efforts. This proposal will continue to leverage spatial data and the power of GIS to identify acquisitions based on landscape level priority areas. Preference is given to project sites that help deliver the goals of other recognized conservation initiatives and plans. Data layers (i.e. MN Biological Survey, Natural Heritage Database, MN Prairie Plan, Wellhead Protection Areas, HAPET Scores, Pheasant Action Plan, MN Wildlife Action Plan, existing protected land, etc.) will be used to help identify projects and focus areas as well as to inform decisions when allocating scarce dollars for habitat protection. If species of concern are located on or adjacent to project tracts as identified in the MBS layer, we take an extra consideration when developing projects and this ultimately may change the way we look at and prioritize project tracts. In addition, if there are rare or sensitive species on sites, we will be able to identify those, communicate with the appropriate long-term land managers, and ensure we are having a positive impact on these species.

**Which two sections of the Minnesota Statewide Conservation and Preservation Plan are most applicable to this project?**

- H1 Protect priority land habitats
- H5 Restore land, wetlands and wetland-associated watersheds

**Which two other plans are addressed in this program?**

- Long Range Duck Recovery Plan
- North American Waterfowl Management Plan

**Which LSOHC section priorities are addressed in this program?**

**Forest / Prairie Transition**

- Protect, enhance, and restore migratory habitat for waterfowl and related species, so as to increase migratory and breeding success

**Metro / Urban**

- Protect, enhance, and restore remnant native prairie, Big Woods forests, and oak savanna with an emphasis on areas with high biological diversity

**Prairie**

- Protect, enhance, or restore existing wetland/upland complexes, or convert agricultural lands to new wetland/upland habitat complexes

**Does this program include leveraged funding?**

Yes

**Explain the leverage:**

WPAs are acquired with funds derived from the sale of Federal Duck Stamps and managed for wildlife and conservation benefits as part of the National Wildlife Refuge System. Land acquisition and restoration have not kept pace with habitat restoration needs or the backlog of willing sellers. The USFWS’s Midwest Region receives on average \$5 million from duck stamp proceeds to purchase fee-title lands or easements in Minnesota. If funded, this proposal will accelerate the protection and restoration of Minnesota’s valuable wetland and grassland habitats and provide additional public hunting and fishing areas.

**Per MS 97A.056, Subd. 24, Please explain whether the request is supplanting or is a substitution for any previous funding that was not from a legacy fund and was used for the same purpose.**

This proposal supplements past investments and is aimed at accelerating the protection and restoration of strategic parcels.

**Non-OHF Appropriations**

Year	Source	Amount
Annual	PF	100,000

**How will you sustain and/or maintain this work after the Outdoor Heritage Funds are expended?**

All grant funds received for this project will result in fee title transfers of additional land into the National Wildlife Refuge System. The long-term protection and management of these habitats will be the responsibility of the USFWS, an agency that employs professional managers, biologists, field staff, realty staff, and enforcement officers. The USFWS has an annual operating budget designated specifically for the management of refuge resources. Wetlands and their contributing watersheds will be protected and prairie habitats monitored and managed. The USFWS has an active, professional prescribed burning program and utilizes fire to reduce woody invasion of prairies, enhance diversity, and rejuvenate uplands. Biological, mechanical, and sometimes chemical treatments are used as needed in an integrated management approach to provide high quality migration and breeding habitats. Acquisitions are targeted within areas that have existing USFWS ownership to reduce management and administrative costs. In addition, great care is given to the creation and acquisition of possible new Waterfowl Production Areas outside existing ownership areas.

**Actions to Maintain Project Outcomes**

Year	Source of Funds	Step 1	Step 2	Step 3
Post Transfer	Federal	Monitoring	Maintenance	Habitat Management

**Activity Details**

**Requirements**

**If funded, this program will meet all applicable criteria set forth in MS 97A.056?**

Yes

**Will county board or other local government approval be formally sought\*\* prior to acquisition, per 97A.056 subd 13(j)?**

No

**Describe any measures to inform local governments of land acquisition under their jurisdiction:**

At minimum we will notify local government in writing of the intent to acquire and donate lands to the USFWS and follow up with questions prior to acquisition. In cases where there is interest, we will also indicate our willingness to attend or ask to attend county or township meetings to communicate our interest in the projects and seek support.

**Is the land you plan to acquire (fee title) free of any other permanent protection?**

No

**Describe the permanent protection and justification for additional protection:**

A limited number of the parcels may have a federal or state easement on a portion of the tract which provides permanent protection for wetlands or grasslands. If a parcel has one of these encumbrances, and is still deemed a high priority by our agency partners, we will follow guidance established by the Outdoor Heritage Fund to proceed, or use non-state funding to acquire the residual value of the protected portion of the property.

**Land Use**

**Will there be planting of any crop on OHF land purchased or restored in this program?**

Yes

**Explain what will be planted:**

This proposal may include initial development plans or restoration plans to utilize farming to prepare

previously farmed sites for native plant seeding. This is a standard practice across the Midwest to prepare the seedbed for native seed planting. In these restorations, PF's policy is to use non-neonicotinoid treated seed and no herbicides other than glyphosate.

**Are any of the crop types planted GMO treated?**

True

**Is this land currently open for hunting and fishing?**

No

**Will the land be open for hunting and fishing after completion?**

Yes

**Describe any variation from the State of Minnesota regulations:**

All acquired lands will be open to the public taking of fish and game during the open season according to the National Wildlife Refuge System Improvement Act, United States Code, title 16, section 668dd, et seq.

**Who will eventually own the fee title land?**

- Federal

**Land acquired in fee will be designated as a:**

- WPA

**What is the anticipated number of closed acquisitions (range is fine) you plan to accomplish with this appropriation?**

We anticipate closing on five to seven tracts through this appropriation.

**Are there currently trails or roads on any of the proposed acquisitions?**

No

**Will new trails or roads be developed or improved as a result of the OHF acquisition?**

No

**Will the acquired parcels be restored or enhanced within this appropriation?**

Yes

Yes. We may also seek additional leverage (i.e. PF chapters, federal, etc.) to supplement the restoration budget contained within this

proposal.

**Timeline**

Activity Name	Estimated Completion Date
Identify priority acquisitions	07/01/2020
Contract appraisals ordered	09/01/2021
Purchase agreements	02/01/2021
Re-evaluate tract priority	02/14/2021
Contract appraisals ordered	04/01/2021
Purchase agreements	09/01/2021
Close on tracts	01/01/2023

Restoration completed

06/30/2025

**Date of Final Report Submission:** 11/01/2025**Availability of Appropriation:** Subd. 7. Availability of Appropriation

Money appropriated in this section may not be spent on activities unless they are directly related to and necessary for a specific appropriation and are specified in the accomplishment plan approved by the Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council. Money appropriated in this section must not be spent on indirect costs or other institutional overhead charges that are not directly related to and necessary for a specific appropriation. Unless otherwise provided, the amounts in this section are available until June 30, 2023. For acquisition of real property, the amounts in this section are available until June 30, 2024, if a binding agreement with a landowner or purchase agreement is entered into by June 30, 2023, and closed no later than June 30, 2024. Funds for restoration or enhancement are available until June 30, 2025, or five years after acquisition, whichever is later, in order to complete initial restoration or enhancement work. If a project receives at least 15 percent of its funding from federal funds, the time of the appropriation may be extended to equal the availability of federal funding to a maximum of six years if the federal funding was confirmed and included in the original approved draft accomplishment plan. Funds appropriated for fee title acquisition of land may be used to restore, enhance, and provide for public use of the land acquired with the appropriation. Public-use facilities must have a minimal impact on habitat in acquired lands.

**Budget**

*Budget reallocations up to 10% do not require an amendment to the Accomplishment Plan.*

**Totals**

Item	Funding Request	Antic. Leverage	Leverage Source	Total
Personnel	\$41,900	-	-	\$41,900
Contracts	\$442,500	-	-	\$442,500
Fee Acquisition w/ PILT	-	-	-	-
Fee Acquisition w/o PILT	\$3,020,000	\$857,000	PF, Federal , Private	\$3,877,000
Easement Acquisition	-	-	-	-
Easement Stewardship	-	-	-	-
Travel	\$2,700	-	-	\$2,700
Professional Services	\$86,200	-	-	\$86,200
Direct Support Services	\$11,000	-	-	\$11,000
DNR Land Acquisition Costs	\$5,700	-	-	\$5,700
Capital Equipment	-	-	-	-
Other Equipment/Tools	-	-	-	-
Supplies/Materials	\$48,000	-	-	\$48,000
DNR IDP	-	-	-	-
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>\$3,658,000</b>	<b>\$857,000</b>	-	<b>\$4,515,000</b>

**Personnel**

Position	Annual FTE	Years Working	Funding Request	Antic. Leverage	Leverage Source	Total
PF State Coordinator	0.01	3.0	\$3,900	-	-	\$3,900
Field Staff	0.05	3.0	\$26,500	-	-	\$26,500
Grant Staff	0.05	3.0	\$11,500	-	-	\$11,500

**Amount of Request:** \$3,658,000

**Amount of Leverage:** \$857,000

**Leverage as a percent of the Request:** 23.43%

**DSS + Personnel:** \$52,900

**As a % of the total request:** 1.45%

**Easement Stewardship:** -

**As a % of the Easement Acquisition:** -

**How will this program accommodate the reduced appropriation recommendation from the original proposed requested amount?**

We have reduced accomplishments/costs proportionately across the overall program to accommodate the reduced appropriation. As a

result of the reduction, we will be able to protect fewer acres. As in past appropriations, we will focus on the most strategic, highest

priority tracts.

**Describe and explain leverage source and confirmation of funds:**

Leverage is expected from multiple sources including but not limited to federal sources, land value donations, contractor donations

and PF. Not every source is 100% confirmed at this point. However, PF has an exemplary track record of delivery and over-achievement

of match commitments that further stretch OHF funding.

**Contracts**

**What is included in the contracts line?**

We anticipate that all of the contract funding will be used for restoration, enhancement and initial development of the protected

acres. This could include but is not limited to wetland/grassland restoration, tree removal, prescribed fire, building removal, posts,

signs, and other development activities

**Travel**

**Does the amount in the travel line include equipment/vehicle rental?**

No

**Explain the amount in the travel line outside of traditional travel costs of mileage, food, and lodging**

n/a

**I understand and agree that lodging, meals, and mileage must comply with the current MMB Commissioner Plan:**

No

**Direct Support Services**

**How did you determine which portions of the Direct Support Services of your shared support services is direct to this program?**

PF utilizes the Total Modified Direct Cost method. This methodology is annually approved by the U.S. Department of Interior's National

Business Center as the basis for the organization's Indirect Cost Rate agreement. PF's allowable direct support services cost is 4.12%. In

this proposal, PF has discounted its rate to 2% of the sum of personnel, contracts, professional services, and travel. We are donating

the difference-in-kind.

**Federal Funds**

**Do you anticipate federal funds as a match for this program?**

Yes

**Are the funds confirmed?**

Yes

**Is Confirmation Document attached?**

[Yes](#)

- Cash : \$850,000
- In Kind : \$800,000

Output Tables**Acres by Resource Type (Table 1)**

Type	Wetland	Prairie	Forest	Habitat	Total Acres
Restore	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	122	489	0	0	611
Protect in Easement	0	0	0	0	0
Enhance	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>122</b>	<b>489</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>611</b>

**Total Requested Funding by Resource Type (Table 2)**

Type	Wetland	Prairie	Forest	Habitat	Total Funding
Restore	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	\$731,600	\$2,926,400	-	-	\$3,658,000
Protect in Easement	-	-	-	-	-
Enhance	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$731,600</b>	<b>\$2,926,400</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>\$3,658,000</b>

**Acres within each Ecological Section (Table 3)**

Type	Metro/Urban	Forest/Prairie	SE Forest	Prairie	N. Forest	Total Acres
Restore	0	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	0	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	31	183	0	397	0	611
Protect in Easement	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enhance	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>183</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>397</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>611</b>

**Total Requested Funding within each Ecological Section (Table 4)**

Type	Metro/Urban	Forest/Prairie	SE Forest	Prairie	N. Forest	Total Funding
Restore	-	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	\$182,900	\$1,097,400	-	\$2,377,700	-	\$3,658,000
Protect in Easement	-	-	-	-	-	-
Enhance	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$182,900</b>	<b>\$1,097,400</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>\$2,377,700</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>\$3,658,000</b>

**Average Cost per Acre by Resource Type (Table 5)**

Type	Wetland	Prairie	Forest	Habitat
Restore	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	\$5,996	\$5,984	-	-
Protect in Easement	-	-	-	-
Enhance	-	-	-	-

**Average Cost per Acre by Ecological Section (Table 6)**

Type	Metro/Urban	Forest/Prairie	SE Forest	Prairie	N. Forest
Restore	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-

Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	\$5,900	\$5,996	-	\$5,989	-
Protect in Easement	-	-	-	-	-
Enhance	-	-	-	-	-

### Target Lake/Stream/River Feet or Miles

## Outcomes

### Programs in forest-prairie transition region:

- Protected, restored, and enhanced nesting and migratory habitat for waterfowl, upland birds, and species of greatest conservation need ~ *Strategic parcels that increase the functionality of existing habitat will be acquired and restored to functioning wetlands with diverse upland prairie to serve as habitat for resident and migratory waterfowl. Lands will be transferred to the USFWS as a WPA to provide accelerated wildlife habitat and public access, monitored by the USFWS. Protected and restored acres will be measured against goals outlined in each WMD Comprehensive Plan which rolls up to the North American Waterfowl Management Plan.*

### Programs in metropolitan urbanizing region:

- Protected habitats will hold wetlands and shallow lakes open to public recreation and hunting ~ *Strategic parcels that increase the functionality of existing habitat will be acquired and restored to functioning wetlands with diverse upland prairie to serve as habitat for resident and migratory waterfowl. Lands will be transferred to the USFWS as a WPA to provide accelerated wildlife habitat and public access, monitored by the USFWS. Protected and restored acres will be measured against goals outlined in each WMD Comprehensive Plan which rolls up to the North American Waterfowl Management Plan.*

### Programs in prairie region:

- Protected, restored, and enhanced habitat for migratory and unique Minnesota species ~ *Strategic parcels that increase the functionality of existing habitat will be acquired and restored to functioning wetlands with diverse upland prairie to serve as habitat for resident and migratory waterfowl. Lands will be transferred to the USFWS as a WPA to provide accelerated wildlife habitat and public access, monitored by the USFWS. Protected and restored acres will be measured against goals outlined in each WMD Comprehensive Plan which rolls up to the North American Waterfowl Management Plan.*

## Parcels

*For restoration and enhancement programs ONLY: Managers may add, delete, and substitute projects on this parcel list based upon need, readiness, cost, opportunity, and/or urgency so long as the substitute parcel/project forwards the constitutional objectives of this program in the Project Scope table of this accomplishment plan. The final accomplishment plan report will include the final parcel list.*

### Parcel Information

#### Sign-up Criteria?

No

**Explain the process used to identify, prioritize, and select the parcels on your list:**

### Protect Parcels

Name	County	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection
Erickson WPA Addn	Becker	13943234	53	\$146,000	No
Audobon WPA Addn	Becker	13942222	198	\$547,000	No
Stinking Lake WPA	Becker	14043219	600	\$2,500,000	No
Twin Lakes WPA Addition	Big Stone	12246235	215	\$1,075,000	No
Kufrin WPA Addition	Big Stone	12245221	120	\$600,000	No
Hillman WPA Addition	Big Stone	12145211	151	\$550,000	No
Prairie WPA Addn	Big Stone	12146202	522	\$1,752,000	Yes
Lincoln WPA Addition	Blue Earth	10729230	86	\$605,000	No
Cobb WPA Addition	Blue Earth	10626214	35	\$200,000	No
New WPA	Brown	10834208	160	\$900,000	No
Strom Lake WPA Addition	Brown	10830224	135	\$945,000	No
Tiger Lake WPA Addition	Carver	11526209	153	\$900,000	No
Tiger Lake WPA Addition	Carver	11526215	115	\$800,000	No
Tiger Lake WPA Addn	Carver	11526210	78	\$499,000	No
Anfinson WPA Addition	Clay	13944232	154	\$700,000	No
Bredeson Lake WPA Addn	Clay	13944211	120	\$273,000	No
Gardener WPA Addn	Clay	13744235	80	\$205,000	Yes
Nord WPA Addition	Clay	14044211	80	\$325,000	Yes
Goldenrod WPA Addition	Clay	13844218	89	\$400,000	Yes
Korell WPA Addition	Clay	14144223	160	\$368,000	Yes
Clear Lake WPA Addition	Cottonwood	10538235	160	\$960,000	No
Wolf Lake WPA Addition	Cottonwood	10535230	83	\$475,000	No
Millerville WPA Addition	Douglas	13038206	60	\$250,000	No
Dahlgren WPA Addn	Douglas	12740234	80	\$246,000	No
Nelson WPA Addition	Douglas	12144201	160	\$650,000	Yes
Chippewa Valley WPA Addition	Douglas	13038218	203	\$850,000	No
Kiester WPA Addition	Faribault	10327217	160	\$1,040,000	No
Prescott WPA Addition	Faribault	10324235	160	\$1,184,000	No
Minnesota Lake WPA Addition	Faribault	10425212	40	\$280,000	No
Minnesota Lakes WPA Addition	Faribault	10426212	40	\$300,000	No
Freeman WPA	Freeborn	10121220	54	\$206,000	No
Freeborn WPA	Freeborn	10121229	80	\$314,000	No
Spaulding WPA Addition	Grant	13041232	70	\$350,000	No
Mud lake WPA	Grant	13043231	166	\$655,000	No
Ellingson WPA Addition	Grant	12841224	155	\$275,000	Yes
TBD WPA	Jackson	10336211	160	\$1,120,000	No
Fish Lake WPA Addition	Jackson	10435205	20	\$100,000	No


Spirit Lake WPA Addition	Jackson	10136236	72	\$360,000	No
Sioux Forks WPA Addition	Jackson	10137224	312	\$2,200,000	No
Rasche WPA	Jackson	10437205	162	\$1,250,000	No
Cherry Lake Addition	Kandiyohi	11833203	20	\$128,000	No
Evenson WPA Addition	Kandiyohi	12035216	34	\$120,000	No
Carlson Lake WPA	Kandiyohi	12136203	105	\$464,000	No
Bjur WPA Addn	Kandiyohi	11833210	20	\$88,000	No
Sweep WPA	Kandiyohi	12034220	50	\$200,000	No
Bur Oak Lake WPA	Kandiyohi	12034233	194	\$1,032,000	No
Cherry Lake WPA	Kandiyohi	11833206	137	\$959,000	No
Bur Oak Lake WPA Addition	Kandiyohi	12034234	185	\$675,000	No
Freese WPA	Kandiyohi	12236211	80	\$320,000	No
Freese WPA Addition	Kandiyohi	12236211	40	\$125,000	No
Degroot WPA	Kandiyohi	11836227	40	\$160,000	No
Beyer WPA Addition	Lac qui Parle	12046234	230	\$1,150,000	Yes
Beyer WPA Addn	Lac qui Parle	12046233	27	\$87,000	No
Gislason Lake WPA Addition	Lincoln	11144203	163	\$850,000	No
Gislason Lake WPA Addition	Lincoln	11244235	160	\$804,700	No
Hansonville WPA	Lincoln	11346201	80	\$360,000	No
Gisalsan Lake WPA Addition	Lincoln	11244235	160	\$650,000	No
Bendix WPA Addition	Lyon	10941221	45	\$160,000	No
Stofer WPA Addition	Lyon	11240214	160	\$960,000	No
Dropseed WPA Addition	Mahnomen	14342212	96	\$400,000	No
McLeod County WPA	McLeod	11430202	80	\$485,000	No
Phasianus WPA Addn	McLeod	11629234	146	\$545,000	No
Barber Lake WPA Addition	McLeod	11630227	120	\$900,000	No
Harvey WPA	Meeker	12031231	80	\$280,000	No
Pfiefer School WPA Addition 2	Meeker	11930204	60	\$200,000	No
Pfiefer School WPA Addition 1	Meeker	11930204	40	\$140,000	No
Tyrone Flats WPA Addition	Meeker	12131223	80	\$320,000	No
Litchfield WPA Addition	Meeker	11931235	150	\$700,000	No
Litchfield WPA Addition	Meeker	11931235	160	\$748,000	No
Pfiefer School WPA Addn	Meeker	11930204	62	\$324,000	No
Giese WPA Addition	Murray	10539225	40	\$200,000	No
Indian Lake WPA	Nobles	10139216	160	\$1,108,600	No
Nicholason WPA Addition	Otter Tail	13142205	8	\$40,000	No
Hassel Creek WPA Addn	Pope	12339224	277	\$536,000	No
Larson WPA Addition	Pope	12338236	550	\$1,350,000	Yes
Heidebrink WPA Addition	Pope	12337218	135	\$540,000	No
Wall WPA Addition	Pope	12437218	147	\$588,000	No
Bangor WPA Addn	Pope	12436234	31	\$60,000	No
Boon Lake WPA	Renville	11631218	150	\$900,000	No
TBD WPA	Renville	11631201	73	\$475,000	No
Sacred Heart WPA Addition	Renville	11537215	18	\$95,000	No
Erin Prairie WPA Addition	Rice	11122215	77	\$500,000	No
Erin Prairie WPA Addition 2	Rice	11122216	85	\$506,000	No
Washington Lake WPA	Sibley	11426215	41	\$98,000	No
Washington Lake WPA	Sibley	11426215	78	\$750,000	No
Washington Lake WPA2	Sibley	11426214	150	\$1,000,000	No
TBD WPA	Stearns	12635207	400	\$2,000,000	Yes
Pieske WPA Addition	Stevens	12640231	314	\$1,256,000	No
Johnson WPA Addition	Stevens	12643206	232	\$928,000	No
Pepperton WPA Addition	Stevens	12543227	239	\$1,600,000	No
Teal WPA Addn	Stevens	12443206	3	\$11,000	No
Giese WPA Addition	Stevens	12643223	45	\$45,000	No
Gilberson WPA	Stevens	12443206	162	\$936,500	Yes
Lubenow WPA Addn	Swift	12143203	52	\$209,000	No

Svor WPA Addition	Swift	12238217	160	\$960,000	No
Byre WPA Addition	Swift	12243222	116	\$696,000	No
Lubenow WPA Addition	Swift	12243234	110	\$440,000	No
Welsh WPA Addition	Swift	12238235	116	\$464,000	No
Felber WPA Addition	Waseca	10722225	216	\$864,000	No
Brown WPA Addition	Wilkin	13445226	140	\$490,000	No
Pelican Lake Addition	Wright	12125235	60	\$360,000	No

### Protect Parcels with Buildings

Name	County	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection	Buildings	Value of Buildings
Tub Lake WPA	Becker	13843220	322	\$889,000	No	4	\$50,000
Akron WPA Addition	Big Stone	12144211	200	\$860,000	No	3	\$12,000
Akron WPA Addition	Big Stone	12144211	358	\$1,700,000	Yes	3	\$12,000
Three Jetvig Lakes WPA Addn	Clay	13944233	233	\$531,000	No	4	\$10,000
Lake Lillian WPA Addition	Kandiyohi	11734201	50	\$275,000	No	4	\$45,000
Bendix WPA Addition	Lyon	10941220	17	\$85,000	No	3	\$0
Bendix WPA Addition	Lyon	10941220	17	\$50,000	No	4	\$20,000
Overby WPA Addition	Pope	12437234	74	\$130,000	No	1	\$0
Kolstad Lake WPA Addition	Pope	12339201	152	\$559,000	Yes	2	\$35,000

### Parcel Map



- Protect in Easement
- ▲ Protect in Fee with PILT
- Protect in Fee W/O PILT
- ★ Restore
- ✦ Enhance
- ⊕ Other