



Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council

Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program - Phase XII
Laws of Minnesota 2020 Accomplishment Plan

General Information

Date: 01/20/2023

Project Title: Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program - Phase XII

Funds Recommended: \$3,322,000

Legislative Citation: ML 2020, Ch. 104, Art. 1, Sec. 2, subd 2(b)

Appropriation Language: \$3,322,000 the second year is to the commissioner of natural resources for an agreement with Pheasants Forever to acquire in fee and restore and enhance lands for wildlife management under Minnesota Statutes, section 86A.05, subdivision 8. Subject to evaluation criteria in Minnesota Rules, part 6136.0900, priority must be given to acquiring lands that are eligible for the native prairie bank under Minnesota Statutes, section 84.96, or lands adjacent to protected native prairie. A list of proposed land acquisitions must be provided as part of the required accomplishment plan.

Manager Information

Manager's Name: Eran Sandquist

Title: State Coordinator

Organization: Pheasants Forever, Inc.

Address: 410 Lincoln Ave S Box 91

City: South Haven, MN 55382

Email: esandquist@pheasantsforever.org

Office Number: 320-236-7755

Mobile Number: 763-242-1273

Fax Number:

Website: www.pheasantsforever.org

Location Information

County Location(s): Meeker, McLeod, Watonwan, Kandiyohi, Nobles, Swift, Le Sueur, Renville, Rock, Murray, Martin, Big Stone, Lincoln, Otter Tail, Dakota, Brown, Douglas, Lyon, Wilkin, Sibley, Blue Earth, Chippewa, Stearns, Redwood, Jackson, Cottonwood, Yellow Medicine, Steele, Washington, Mower and Scott.

Eco regions in which work will take place:

- Forest / Prairie Transition
- Prairie
- Metro / Urban

Activity types:

- Protect in Fee

Priority resources addressed by activity:

- Wetlands
- Prairie

Narrative**Abstract**

This is the twelfth phase to accelerate the protection and restoration of 540 acres of strategic prairie grasslands, associated wetlands and other wildlife habitats as State Wildlife Management Areas (WMA) open to public hunting. Pheasants Forever (PF) will be permanently protecting strategic parcels within the prairie, prairie/forest transition, and metro planning regions which will be restored and transferred to the MN Department of Natural Resources (MN DNR) to be included as a WMA. We continue to have more willing sellers of priority parcels which shows demand for continued phases of this program.

Design and Scope of Work

The purpose of this twelfth phase proposal is to accelerate the protection and restoration of prairie and prairie wetlands for associated wildlife. The partners involved in this effort are deploying their resources to build upon past investments in long-term upland and associated wetland conservation. The proposal focuses upon permanent habitat protection of 540 acres of land that will be managed for wildlife and waterfowl. These accomplishments further the goals outlined in the MN Wildlife Action Plan, the MN Prairie Conservation Plan, the Pheasant Action Plan, and the 2017 Prairie Pothole Joint Venture (PPJV) Implementation Plan (including the Minnesota Tactical Plan within the PPJV Plan).

Lands acquired from willing sellers will be prioritized using criteria used by MN DNR (Minnesota Wildlife Management Areas – The Next 50 Years) which include location on the landscape, breeding waterfowl density, restoration potential, native community protection (e.g. Minnesota Biological Survey site), proximity to other investments in perpetually protected habitats. Projects were developed and selected in conjunction with local and regional DNR staff. All projects will meet standards and requirements for inclusion into the WMA system and DNR Commissioner approval will be received for any project funded under this proposal. In addition to meeting the minimum WMA standards, additional criteria are used to develop the potential project list including 1) Does the parcel contain habitat restoration potential that will result in an increase in wildlife populations? 2) Does the parcel build upon existing investments in public and private land habitat (landscape-scale significance)? 3) Does the parcel contain significant natural communities, or will it protect or buffer significant natural communities? 4) Does the parcel have the potential and focus for habitat protection and restoration in the future? 5) Does the parcel provide multiple benefits (recreation, access, water control, water quality, wellhead protection, riparian protection, local community support, etc.)?

Providing high-quality habitat and keeping future management concerns in mind, all acquisitions will be restored and/or enhanced to as high quality as practicable, with the belief that quality and comprehensive restorations

utilizing native species result in lower management costs. Acquired croplands will be permanently retired and restored to diverse grasslands and wetlands habitat, drained wetlands will be restored, and invasive trees will be removed when appropriate.

How does the plan address habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and list targeted species?

PF is actively engaged in conservation priority planning meetings with local, state and government agencies, SWCDs, nonprofits, and other stake holders to determine what areas are the highest priority for adding permanently protected lands in the prairie, prairie/forest transition, and metro planning areas. Priority is given to parcels for numerous reasons. One of the reasons is an urgency to permanently protect habitat that includes factors such as rare, threatened, and endangered species. We can strategically build onto existing wildlife habitat while also protecting water resources, such as wellhead protection areas. PF also looks to protect and restore marginal farmlands that have highly erodible land and drained wetlands which creates a net acre increase in protected grassland and wetland acres. Sellers often talk about how these lands should have never been farmed, citing that in many years, farming was not profitable for them. Lastly, building new habitat around existing permanently protected complexes also reverses habitat fragmentation, which is the number one threat to all of Minnesota's wildlife species.

When selecting projects for this proposal, PF uses the latest GIS layers and works with DNR staff to identify species of greatest conservation need. Species of greatest conservation need are considered and can influence restoration plans after the land is permanently protected. By increasing the amount, functionality and productivity of grassland landscapes for these species we aim to maximize quality habitat for important wildlife species. Restoration of wetland and high diversity grassland complexes will provide habitat for a myriad of species including waterfowl, black terns, bobolinks, meadowlarks, ring-necked pheasants, pollinators, and monarchs. Other species of concern benefiting from this project include the prairie chicken, short-eared owl, marsh hawk, and yellow rails.

Describe how the plan uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey:

This proposal utilizes the best science and modeling available to build or expand corridors and complexes. To scale this large programmatic grant to local landscape level priorities, PF works in close collaboration with the local area managers of the MN DNR, USFWS, and other Minnesota partners. In addition, we use SWAAT scores to build on existing grassland and wetland conservation efforts in a science-based approach. This proposal will continue to utilize spatial data and the power of GIS to identify acquisitions based on landscape level priority areas. Preference is given to project sites that help deliver the goals of other recognized conservation initiatives and plans. Data layers (i.e. MN Biological Survey, Natural Heritage Database, MN Prairie Plan, Wellhead Protection Areas, HAPET Scores, MN Wildlife Action Plan, Pheasant Action Plan, existing protected land, etc.) will be used to help justify projects and focus areas as well as to inform decisions when allocating scarce dollars for habitat protection, restoration, and enhancement. If there are species of concern located on or adjacent to project tracts as identified in the MCBS survey, we take an extra consideration when developing proposals and this ultimately may change the way we evaluate and prioritize project tracts. In addition, if there are rare or sensitive species on site, we will be able to identify those, communicate with the appropriate long-term land managers, and ensure we're having a positive impact on these species.

Which two sections of the Minnesota Statewide Conservation and Preservation Plan are most applicable to this project?

- H1 Protect priority land habitats
- H5 Restore land, wetlands and wetland-associated watersheds

Which two other plans are addressed in this program?

- Minnesota Prairie Conservation Plan
- Minnesota's Wildlife Management Area Acquisition - The Next 50 Years

Which LSOHC section priorities are addressed in this program?

Forest / Prairie Transition

- Protect, enhance, and restore wild rice wetlands, shallow lakes, wetland/grassland complexes, aspen parklands, and shoreland that provide critical habitat for game and nongame wildlife

Metro / Urban

- Protect, enhance, and restore remnant native prairie, Big Woods forests, and oak savanna with an emphasis on areas with high biological diversity

Prairie

- Protect, enhance, or restore existing wetland/upland complexes, or convert agricultural lands to new wetland/upland habitat complexes

Does this program include leveraged funding?

Yes

Explain the leverage:

Land acquisition and restoration have not kept pace with habitat restoration needs or the backlog of willing sellers within the pheasant range. Opportunity is not the limiting factor in implementing the Pheasant Action Plan and the MN Prairie Conservation Plan. Available funding is the limiting factor. With current CRP expiration rates, Minnesota's conservation efforts must be accelerated to sustain wildlife populations. Before the passage of the OHF, PF would help acquire approximately 1,000 acres of land yearly that had been donated to a public agency. This grant significantly accelerates our ability to acquire priority parcels and more than triples our historic yearly accomplishments even when considering the increased cost of land values. If funded, this proposal will accelerate the protection and restoration of Minnesota's valuable wetland and grassland habitats and provide additional public hunting and fishing areas.

Per MS 97A.056, Subd. 24, Please explain whether the request is supplanting or is a substitution for any previous funding that was not from a legacy fund and was used for the same purpose.

This proposal supplements past investments and is aimed at accelerating the protection and restoration of strategic parcels.

Non-OHF Appropriations

Year	Source	Amount
Annual	PF	\$150,000

How will you sustain and/or maintain this work after the Outdoor Heritage Funds are expended?

All lands will be enrolled into the state Wildlife Management Area system and will be managed in perpetuity by the Minnesota DNR. All acquired lands will meet the minimum initial development standards for WMAs. All acquisitions will be restored and/or enhanced to as high quality as practicable, with the knowledge that quality and comprehensive restorations utilizing native species result in lower management costs. In addition, our local PF chapter members and volunteers maintain a high interest in seeing the habitat and productivity of acquired parcels are at high-quality levels. PF and partners including the DNR and USFWS will develop an ecological restoration and management plan for each parcel. Grant and partner dollars will also be used for the initial site development and restoration/enhancement work.

Actions to Maintain Project Outcomes

Year	Source of Funds	Step 1	Step 2	Step 3
Post Transfer to MNDNR	MN DNR - Game and Fish Funds	Monitoring	Maintenance	Management

Activity Details

Requirements

If funded, this program will meet all applicable criteria set forth in MS 97A.056?

Yes

Will county board or other local government approval be formally sought prior to acquisition, per 97A.056 subd 13(j)?**

No

Describe any measures to inform local governments of land acquisition under their jurisdiction:

At a minimum PF and/or MN DNR will notify local government in writing of the intent to acquire and donate lands to the state and follow up with questions prior to acquisition. In cases where there is interest, we will also indicate our willingness to attend or ask to attend county or township meetings to communicate our interest in the projects and seek support.

Is the land you plan to acquire (fee title) free of any other permanent protection?

No

Describe the permanent protection and justification for additional protection:

A limited number of the parcels may have a federal or state easement on a portion of the tract which provides permanent protection for wetlands or grasslands. If a parcel has one of these encumbrances, and is still deemed a high priority by the partnership, we will follow guidance established by the Outdoor Heritage Fund to proceed, or use non-state funding to acquire the residual value of the protected portion of the property.

Land Use

Will there be planting of any crop on OHF land purchased or restored in this program?

Yes

Explain what will be planted:

The primary purposes of WMAs are to develop and manage for the production of wildlife and for compatible outdoor recreation. To fulfill those goals, the DNR may use limited farming specifically to enhance or benefit the management of state lands for wildlife. This proposal may include initial

development plans or restoration plans to utilize farming to prepare previously farmed sites for native plant seeding. This is a standard practice across the Midwest to prepare the seedbed for native seed planting. In these restorations, PF's policy is to use non neonicotinoid treated seed and no herbicides other than glyphosate. On a small percentage of WMAs (less than 2.5%), DNR uses farming to provide a winter food source for a variety of wildlife species in agriculture-dominated landscapes largely devoid of winter food sources. There are no immediate plans to use farming for winter food on any of the parcels in this proposal.

Are any of the crop types planted GMO treated?

True

Is this land currently open for hunting and fishing?

No

Will the land be open for hunting and fishing after completion?

Yes

Describe any variation from the State of Minnesota regulations:

No variation from State of Minnesota regulations.

Who will eventually own the fee title land?

- State of MN

Land acquired in fee will be designated as a:

- WMA

What is the anticipated number of closed acquisitions (range is fine) you plan to accomplish with this appropriation?

We anticipate closing on five to seven tracts through this appropriation.

Are there currently trails or roads on any of the proposed acquisitions?

No

Will new trails or roads be developed or improved as a result of the OHF acquisition?

No

Will the acquired parcels be restored or enhanced within this appropriation?

Yes

Yes. We may also seek additional leverage (i.e. PF chapters, federal, etc.) to supplement the restoration budget contained within this proposal.

Timeline

Activity Name	Estimated Completion Date
Identify priority acquisitions	07/01/2020
Contract appraisals ordered	09/01/2020
Purchase agreements	02/01/2021
Re-evaluate tract priority	02/14/2021
Contract appraisals ordered	04/01/2021
Purchase agreements	09/01/2021

Close on tracts	01/01/2023
Restorations completed	06/30/2025

Date of Final Report Submission: 11/01/2025

Availability of Appropriation: Subd. 7. Availability of Appropriation

Money appropriated in this section may not be spent on activities unless they are directly related to and necessary for a specific appropriation and are specified in the accomplishment plan approved by the Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council. Money appropriated in this section must not be spent on indirect costs or other institutional overhead charges that are not directly related to and necessary for a specific appropriation. Unless otherwise provided, the amounts in this section are available until June 30, 2023. For acquisition of real property, the amounts in this section are available until June 30, 2024, if a binding agreement with a landowner or purchase agreement is entered into by June 30, 2023, and closed no later than June 30, 2024. Funds for restoration or enhancement are available until June 30, 2025, or five years after acquisition, whichever is later, in order to complete initial restoration or enhancement work. If a project receives at least 15 percent of its funding from federal funds, the time of the appropriation may be extended to equal the availability of federal funding to a maximum of six years if the federal funding was confirmed and included in the original approved draft accomplishment plan. Funds appropriated for fee title acquisition of land may be used to restore, enhance, and provide for public use of the land acquired with the appropriation. Public-use facilities must have a minimal impact on habitat in acquired lands.

Budget

Budget reallocations up to 10% do not require an amendment to the Accomplishment Plan.

Totals

Item	Funding Request	Antic. Leverage	Leverage Source	Total
Personnel	\$45,400	-	-	\$45,400
Contracts	\$407,500	-	-	\$407,500
Fee Acquisition w/ PILT	\$2,660,000	\$195,000	PF, Federal Private	\$2,855,000
Fee Acquisition w/o PILT	-	-	-	-
Easement Acquisition	-	-	-	-
Easement Stewardship	-	-	-	-
Travel	\$1,700	-	-	\$1,700
Professional Services	\$83,500	-	-	\$83,500
Direct Support Services	\$9,900	-	-	\$9,900
DNR Land Acquisition Costs	\$54,000	-	-	\$54,000
Capital Equipment	-	-	-	-
Other Equipment/Tools	-	-	-	-
Supplies/Materials	-	-	-	-
DNR IDP	\$60,000	-	-	\$60,000
Grand Total	\$3,322,000	\$195,000	-	\$3,517,000

Personnel

Position	Annual FTE	Years Working	Funding Request	Antic. Leverage	Leverage Source	Total
State Coordinator	0.01	3.0	\$6,000	-	-	\$6,000
Field Staff	0.05	3.0	\$22,700	-	-	\$22,700
Grant Staff	0.05	3.0	\$16,700	-	-	\$16,700

Amount of Request: \$3,322,000

Amount of Leverage: \$195,000

Leverage as a percent of the Request: 5.87%

DSS + Personnel: \$55,300

As a % of the total request: 1.66%

Easement Stewardship: -

As a % of the Easement Acquisition: -

How will this program accommodate the reduced appropriation recommendation from the original proposed requested amount?

We have reduced accomplishments/costs proportionately across the overall program to accommodate the reduced appropriation. As a result of the reduction, we will be able to protect fewer acres. As in past appropriations, we will focus on the most strategic, highest priority tracts.

Describe and explain leverage source and confirmation of funds:

Leverage is expected from multiple sources including but not limited to federal sources, land value donations,

contractor donations and PF. Not every source is 100% confirmed at this point. However, PF has an exemplary track record of delivery and over-achievement of match commitments that further stretch OHF funding.

Contracts

What is included in the contracts line?

We anticipate that all of the contract funding will be used for restoration, enhancement and initial development of the protected acres. This could include but is not limited to wetland/grassland restoration, tree removal, prescribed fire, building removal, posts, signs, and other development activities.

Travel

Does the amount in the travel line include equipment/vehicle rental?

No

Explain the amount in the travel line outside of traditional travel costs of mileage, food, and lodging

n/a

I understand and agree that lodging, meals, and mileage must comply with the current MMB Commissioner Plan:

No

Direct Support Services

How did you determine which portions of the Direct Support Services of your shared support services is direct to this program?

PF utilizes the Total Modified Direct Cost method. This methodology is annually approved by the U.S. Department of Interior's National Business Center as the basis for the organization's Indirect Cost Rate agreement. PF's allowable direct support services cost is 4.12%. In this proposal, PF has discounted its rate to 2.0% of the sum of personnel, contracts, professional services, and travel. We are donating the difference-in-kind.

Federal Funds

Do you anticipate federal funds as a match for this program?

Yes

Are the funds confirmed?

No

What is the approximate date you anticipate receiving confirmation of the federal funds?

07/01/2020

Output Tables**Acres by Resource Type (Table 1)**

Type	Wetland	Prairie	Forest	Habitat	Total Acres
Restore	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	81	459	0	0	540
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Easement	0	0	0	0	0
Enhance	0	0	0	0	0
Total	81	459	0	0	540

Total Requested Funding by Resource Type (Table 2)

Type	Wetland	Prairie	Forest	Habitat	Total Funding
Restore	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	\$498,300	\$2,823,700	-	-	\$3,322,000
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Easement	-	-	-	-	-
Enhance	-	-	-	-	-
Total	\$498,300	\$2,823,700	-	-	\$3,322,000

Acres within each Ecological Section (Table 3)

Type	Metro/Urban	Forest/Prairie	SE Forest	Prairie	N. Forest	Total Acres
Restore	0	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	30	160	0	350	0	540
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	0	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Easement	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enhance	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	30	160	0	350	0	540

Total Requested Funding within each Ecological Section (Table 4)

Type	Metro/Urban	Forest/Prairie	SE Forest	Prairie	N. Forest	Total Funding
Restore	-	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	\$184,600	\$984,300	-	\$2,153,100	-	\$3,322,000
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Easement	-	-	-	-	-	-
Enhance	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	\$184,600	\$984,300	-	\$2,153,100	-	\$3,322,000

Average Cost per Acre by Resource Type (Table 5)

Type	Wetland	Prairie	Forest	Habitat
Restore	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	\$6,151	\$6,151	-	-
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-
Protect in Easement	-	-	-	-
Enhance	-	-	-	-

Average Cost per Acre by Ecological Section (Table 6)

Type	Metro/Urban	Forest/Prairie	SE Forest	Prairie	N. Forest
Restore	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	\$6,153	\$6,151	-	\$6,151	-

Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Easement	-	-	-	-	-
Enhance	-	-	-	-	-

Target Lake/Stream/River Feet or Miles

Outcomes

Programs in forest-prairie transition region:

- Protected, restored, and enhanced nesting and migratory habitat for waterfowl, upland birds, and species of greatest conservation need ~ *Strategic parcels that increase the functionality of existing habitat will be acquired and restored to functioning wetlands with diverse upland prairie to serve as habitat for pollinators, resident and migratory game and non-game species. Lands will be transferred to the state as a WMA to provide accelerated wildlife habitat and public access, monitored by Minnesota DNR. Protected and restored acres will be measured against goals outlined in the "Minnesota's Wildlife Management Area Acquisition - The Next 50 Years" and "the Long Range Plan for the Ring-Necked Pheasant in MN".*

Programs in metropolitan urbanizing region:

- Protected habitats will hold wetlands and shallow lakes open to public recreation and hunting ~ *Strategic parcels that increase the functionality of existing habitat will be acquired and restored to functioning wetlands with diverse upland prairie to serve as habitat for pollinators, resident and migratory game and non-game species. Lands will be transferred to the state as a WMA to provide accelerated wildlife habitat and public access, monitored by Minnesota DNR. Protected and restored acres will be measured against goals outlined in the "Minnesota's Wildlife Management Area Acquisition - The Next 50 Years" and "the Long Range Plan for the Ring-Necked Pheasant in MN".ds.*

Programs in prairie region:

- Key core parcels are protected for fish, game and other wildlife ~ *Strategic parcels that increase the functionality of existing habitat will be acquired and restored to functioning wetlands with diverse upland prairie to serve as habitat for pollinators, resident and migratory game and non-game species. Lands will be transferred to the state as a WMA to provide accelerated wildlife habitat and public access, monitored by Minnesota DNR. Protected and restored acres will be measured against goals outlined in the "Minnesota's Wildlife Management Area Acquisition - The Next 50 Years" and "the Long Range Plan for the Ring-Necked Pheasant in MN".*

Parcels

For restoration and enhancement programs ONLY: Managers may add, delete, and substitute projects on this parcel list based upon need, readiness, cost, opportunity, and/or urgency so long as the substitute parcel/project forwards the constitutional objectives of this program in the Project Scope table of this accomplishment plan. The final accomplishment plan report will include the final parcel list.

Parcel Information

Sign-up Criteria?

No

Explain the process used to identify, prioritize, and select the parcels on your list:

Protect Parcels

Name	County	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection
Thielke Lake WMA Addition	Big Stone	12246202	274	\$975,000	Yes
Maple River WMA Addition	Blue Earth	10627222	46	\$280,000	No
Vogel WMA Addition	Brown	10835203	156	\$600,000	No
Terri WMA Addition	Brown	10834215	152	\$160,000	Yes
Lac Qui Parle WMA Addition	Chippewa	11942220	35	\$75,000	No
Numo WMA Addition	Chippewa	11840209	67	\$450,000	No
Rock Ridge WMA Addition	Cottonwood	10735214	59	\$125,000	Yes
Farhagen WMA Addition Tr. 2	Cottonwood	10536214	120	\$900,000	No
Chub Lake WMA Addition	Dakota	11320234	80	\$650,000	No
Petersburg WMA Addition	Jackson	10134226	116	\$650,000	Yes
Rau Prairie Pothole WMA Addn	Kandiyohi	11935206	178	\$915,000	Yes
Dalton Johnson WMA Addition	Kandiyohi	11733221	67	\$500,000	No
Dietrich Lange WMA Addn	Kandiyohi	12133220	70	\$421,000	No
Atwater WMA Addition	Kandiyohi	11933218	32	\$140,000	No
Regal Meadows WMA Addition	Kandiyohi	12234201	100	\$500,000	No
Murphy WMA Addn	Le Sueur	10923207	39	\$145,000	No
Vista Prairie WMA	Lincoln	11344207	160	\$640,000	No
Amiret WMA Addition	Lyon	11040205	143	\$715,000	No
Brawner Lake WMA Addition	Lyon	11042217	101	\$300,000	No
Grandview WMA Addition	Lyon	11242219	160	\$1,136,000	No
Caron WMA Addition	Martin	10333222	140	\$940,000	No
Center Creek WMA	Martin	10329220	68	\$450,000	No
Ras Lynn WMA Addition	McLeod	11530205	51	\$280,000	No
Ras-Lynn WMA Addn	McLeod	11630233	162	\$800,000	No
Prairie -Big Woods WMA Addn	Meeker	11830218	131	\$851,500	No
Kingston WMA Addition	Meeker	12129221	40	\$180,000	No
Powers Lake WMA	Meeker	12230236	6	\$40,000	No
Powers Lake WMA Addition	Meeker	11930202	260	\$1,075,000	No
Kingston WMA Addition	Meeker	12129227	40	\$160,000	No
Kingston WMA Addition	Meeker	12129221	40	\$180,000	No
Murphy Lake WMA	Mower	10318228	143	\$825,000	No
Rupp WMA Addn	Murray	10740209	25	\$134,776	No
Haberman WMA Addition	Murray	10539218	80	\$450,000	No
Lone Tree WMA Addition	Nobles	10440221	58	\$405,600	No
Ransom Ridge WMA Addn	Nobles	10141208	130	-	No
Lambert Prairie WMA Addition	Nobles	10241208	75	\$650,000	No
Bigelow WMA Addn	Nobles	10141225	150	\$825,000	No

Ransom Ridge	Nobles	10141216	147	\$988,240	No
Inman WMA Addition	Otter Tail	13337232	40	\$80,000	No
Lamberton WMA Addition	Redwood	10936217	160	\$800,000	No
Chetomba Creek Tract 2	Renville	11637208	154	\$1,200,000	No
Cold Spring WMA Addn	Renville	11336202	47	\$282,755	No
TBD WMA	Rock	10145211	40	\$480,000	No
TBD WMA	Rock	10145211	80	\$960,000	No
High Island WMA	Sibley	11428230	207	\$600,000	No
Mel Roehrl WMA Addition Tr. 4	Stearns	12435205	120	\$500,000	No
Mel Roehrl WMA Addition Tr. 3	Stearns	12435204	160	\$600,000	No
Alice Hamm WMA Addition	Stearns	12229233	33	\$120,000	No
Straight River WMA Addition	Steele	10520223	200	\$550,000	No
Monson WMA Addition	Swift	12237235	15	\$60,000	No
Camp Kerk WMA Addn	Swift	12237230	60	\$250,000	No
Hardwood Creek WMA Addition	Washington	03221223	343	\$1,000,000	No
Case Lake WMA Addn	Watowan	10630203	108	\$650,000	No
Younger Brothers WMA Addition	Watowan	10731222	40	\$200,000	No
Younger Brothers WMA Addition	Watowan	10731226	70	\$325,000	No
Akron WMA Addition	Wilkin	13445222	40	\$70,000	No
Upper Antelope Valley WMA Addition	Yellow Medicine	11444209	34	\$51,000	No
Stony Run WMA Addition	Yellow Medicine	11641232	80	\$560,000	No
Roy Lenzen WMA Tract 1	Yellow Medicine	11639229	216	\$497,700	Yes
Roy Lenzen WMA Tract 3	Yellow Medicine	11639229	210	\$287,500	Yes
Roy Lenzen WMA Tract 2	Yellow Medicine	11639230	172	\$403,100	Yes

Protect Parcels with Buildings

Name	County	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection	Buildings	Value of Buildings
Benderberg WMA Addition	Chippewa	11941219	80	\$265,000	No	1	\$10,000
Roy Thompson WMA	Douglas	12740204	240	\$800,000	No	1	\$0
Ash WMA Addition	Lincoln	11145207	240	\$1,000,000	No	5	\$75,000
Rock River WMA Addn	Rock	10245201	200	\$1,749,972	No	6	\$200,000
Bradshaw WMA Addition	Scott	11322209	52	\$700,000	Yes	3	\$166,000
Severance Lake WMA Addition	Sibley	11427208	133	\$600,000	No	6	\$50,000

Parcel Map

